Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action

mailed January 23, 2008.

Claims 1-11 and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Soares et al. (VersionWeb: A Tool for Helping Web page Version Control).

Claims 1, 11 and 21 have been amended to include the feature that the

propagation of at least two of the components from the source environment to the

destination environment is performed in parallel using multiple instances of a difference

engine.

The prior art does not disclose or make obvious the claims as amended.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending

in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is

requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can

assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this

response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 1, 2008

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/

Joseph P. O'Malley

Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, CA 94108

(415) 362-3800

Customer No.: 23910

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01432US1 JPOmalley/BEAS/1432us1/012308 OA Response